

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/756,788	01/10/2001	Michael C. Scroggie	CAT/29US-SCRCO3	6599
31518	7590 10/29/2004		EXAMINER	
NEIFELD IP LAW, PC 2001 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY ARLINGTON, VA 22202			JANVIER, JEAN D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3622	
		DATE MAILED: 10/29/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	0.00 A 5	09/756,788	SCROGGIE ET AL.			
٠	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jean D Janvier	3622			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI resions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, poperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. a reply within the statutory minimum of thin period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	04 August 2004.				
_		This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims		·			
5)	Claim(s) 32-91 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 32-91 are subject to restriction and	hdrawn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
10)	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	- · · ·	• •			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the concentration is objected to by the					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage			
- (See the attached detailed Office action for a	a not on the centiled copies not	received.			
Attachmen						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
3) 🔲 Infori	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3622

Response to Arguments

In view of the Appeal Brief filed on August 04, 2004, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED to thereby simply the issues before the Board. Hence, a Non-Final Rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the Application, Appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) File a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
 - (2) request reinstatement of the Appeal.

If reinstatement of the Appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a **Supplemental Appeal Brief**, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

Finally, all present arguments are moot in view of the current Action.

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 32-56 and 59-91, drawn to a method of and system for delivering incentives over the Internet comprising a Web site for providing purchasing

Art Unit: 3622

ì

- ·

incentives from multiple sources, a consumer purchase history database based on consumer online shopping activity, a consumer database, which can identify consumers by their e-mail addresses, wherein said consumer database is connected to said Web site so as to receive consumer data from said Web site and means for delivering purchasing incentives to consumers by e-mail.

Page 3

- II. Claim 57, drawn to a method of and system for providing, via a Web site, purchasing incentives from multiple sources such as retailers and manufacturers comprising a personal database, a consumer purchase history database based on consumer online shopping activity, means for generating a web page with a consumer-specific display and means for updating said web page.
 - III. Claim 58, drawn to a method for delivery of incentives by e-mail, comprising the steps of maintaining a consumer database, which identifies consumers by their e-mail addresses, generating targeted purchase incentives from a consumer purchase history database and formatting an e-mail message to a consumer by electronic mail for subsequent printing of a coupon and delivering said e-mail to the consumer.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Art Unit: 3622

For example, Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination.

Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed. The subcombination has separate utility by itself such as means for generating a web page with a consumer-specific display and means for updating said web page.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art and required a separate search and hence, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Moreover, claims 32-56 and 59-91 of this Application are directed to patentably distinct species.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Art Unit: 3622

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should Applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct,

Applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species
to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the
Examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission
may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean D Janvier whose telephone number is 308-6287. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thurs. 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric W. P Stamber can be reached on 703-305-8469. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

ţ

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-247-9197 (toll-free).

Jean D Janvier

Examiner

Art Unit 3622

10/26/04